ANWESH: International Journal of Management and Information Technology
11 (1) March 2026, 01-08
www.publishingindia.com/anwesh
https://doi.org/10.21863/anwesh/2026.11.1.001

Determinants of Retirement Planning Behavior: A Systematic Review with Implications for Emerging Economy Workforce

M. Sugabradhayini[1*], Shri Abirami M. V.[2] and R. Lakshmi[3]

[1]Ph.D. Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Shri Shankarlal Sundarbai Shasun Jain College for Women, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: scssuga@gmail.com
[2]Ph.D. Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Shri Shankarlal Sundarbai Shasun Jain College for Women, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: abishri99@gmail.com
[3]Research Supervisor and Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Shri Shankarlal Sundarbai Shasun Jain College for Women, Tamil Nadu, India.
[*]Corresponding Author

Abstract: This study examines the determinants of retirement planning behavior through a systematic synthesis of existing empirical literature. Guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, the review analyzes 52 peer-reviewed studies published between 2010 and 2024 to identify key factors influencing individuals' retirement planning decisions. The findings reveal that retirement planning behavior is shaped by a multidimensional set of determinants, including financial literacy, psychological attributes, socio-economic conditions, institutional support, and cultural influences.

Among these, financial literacy emerges as a central determinant; however, its impact is significantly mediated by psychological factors such as financial self-efficacy, future time perspective, and retirement goal clarity. Socio-economic variables, particularly income and education, influence both the capacity and propensity to plan, while institutional factors such as pension schemes and financial accessibility act as critical enablers. The review further highlights that these determinants interact in complex ways, suggesting that retirement planning behavior cannot be explained through isolated variables.

This study contributes to the literature by proposing an integrated conceptual framework that combines cognitive, behavioral, and structural dimensions. The findings underscore the need for holistic policy interventions that extend beyond financial education to incorporate behavioral and institutional mechanisms. Future research should empirically validate the proposed framework, particularly in emerging economy contexts, using advanced analytical techniques.

Keywords: Behavioral finance, Conceptual framework, Financial literacy, Retirement planning behavior, Socio-economic determinants, Systematic literature review.

I. Introduction

Retirement planning has garnered substantial academic interest over the past decade, driven by increasing life expectancies and evolving policy frameworks that necessitate robust long-term financial foresight [1], [2]. This systematic review synthesizes findings from 191 articles to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing financial decision-making for retirement savings [1]. This includes an examination of theoretical models, methodological approaches, and specific determinants that shape individuals' perceptions and behaviors regarding financial planning for retirement [3]. This growing body of research highlights a critical need to understand the cognitive foundations, attitudes, and various demographic and socio-economic factors that predict effective retirement planning [4]. Early work predominantly focused on economic and demographic aspects, while more recent studies have incorporated psychological determinants and multi-perspective approaches, such as the Social, Personal, Occupational, and Familial Model [3].

A. Background to Retirement Planning

Contemporary perspectives on retirement planning emphasize not only financial literacy and saving behaviors but also a broader construct of financial resilience [5]. This interdisciplinary approach is essential for identifying comprehensive strategies to enhance financial well-being in later life, moving beyond purely economic indicators to encompass psychological and behavioral dimensions [1]. This review aims to systematically categorize and analyze the diverse determinants identified in the literature, ranging from individual psychological traits and financial literacy to broader socio-economic and policy-level influences. Specifically, a substantial body of empirical evidence indicates that psychological preparedness, including financial self-efficacy, significantly influences financial planning for retirement [3]. Furthermore, while the Life Cycle Hypothesis provides a foundational economic framework for understanding lifetime consumption and savings patterns, its application often extends to encompass the influence of social forces on investment behaviour [3]. However, an emerging body of literature also emphasizes the critical role of behavioral economics in explicating the complex interplay of psychological factors, such as self-control failures, that impede optimal retirement savings behaviors. This shift in understanding acknowledges that individuals often deviate from rational financial decision-making, emphasizing the importance of emotional and attitudinal factors. Such behavioral deviations highlight the need for models that integrate psycho-social characteristics, task complexity, and economic resources to accurately capture the nuances of retirement planning [6].

B. Importance of Retirement Planning

The increased onus on individuals to manage their own retirement funds, coupled with the limitations of state pensions in maintaining living standards, underscores the heightened importance of proactive and informed retirement planning [7]. This exigency has propelled a surge in research investigating the multifactorial nature of retirement planning behavior, moving beyond traditional economic models to integrate psychological, social, and behavioral insights [8]. Consequently, understanding the determinants of retirement planning behavior has become crucial for developing effective interventions and policy recommendations to foster greater financial preparedness among aging populations [9].

C. Research Gaps and Rationale for the Review

Despite the growing body of literature on retirement planning behavior, existing systematic reviews remain fragmented in three critical aspects [10]. First, prior studies predominantly examine isolated determinants such as financial literacy or demographic factors, with limited integration of psychological, socio-economic, and institutional dimensions into a unified framework [11]. Second, there is insufficient attention to interaction effects among these determinants, particularly how psychological constructs such as self-efficacy and future time perspective mediate financial decision-making [12]. Third, much of the existing evidence is derived from developed economies, thereby limiting the applicability of findings to emerging economic contexts where structural and behavioral dynamics differ significantly [13].

Consequently, there is a need for a comprehensive and integrative synthesis that not only categorizes determinants but also explicates their interrelationships within a holistic conceptual model [14].

II. Objectives

- To systematically review empirical studies on retirement planning behavior.
- To classify key determinants into coherent thematic categories.
- To examine interaction effects among psychological, economic, and social variables.
- To identify methodological and contextual gaps in existing research.
- To propose a comprehensive conceptual framework to guide future empirical studies.

III. Methodology

A. Research Design

This study adopts a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to synthesize existing empirical evidence on the determinants of retirement planning behaviour [15]. The SLR method is employed to ensure a transparent, replicable, and structured synthesis of prior research, enabling the identification of key patterns, relationships, and research gaps within the domain [8].

The review is guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework, which enhances methodological rigor in study identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion [16].

B. Search Strategy and Data Sources

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple electronic databases to ensure broad coverage of interdisciplinary research [17]. The primary databases included:

- Scopus
- Web of Science
- PubMed
- PsycINFO

To capture relevant studies, a combination of keywords and Boolean operators was used. The search string included:

- (“retirement planning” OR “retirement savings”) AND
- (“financial literacy” OR “financial behavior” OR “investment behavior”) AND
- (“determinants” OR “predictors” OR “influencing factors”)

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles published in English between 2010 and 2024, ensuring both relevance and recency of the included studies.

C. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure consistency and relevance, predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied:

i. Inclusion Criteria

- Empirical studies examining retirement planning behavior.
- Studies focusing on individual-level financial decision-making.
- Peer-reviewed journal publications.
- Articles published in English.
- Studies within the time frame 2010–2024.

ii. Exclusion Criteria

- Conceptual or theoretical papers without empirical evidence.
- Studies focusing solely on pension systems without behavioral analysis.
- Non-retirement financial planning studies.
- Conference papers, reports, and unpublished manuscripts.

D. Study Selection Process

The study selection process followed the PRISMA protocol and was conducted in four stages [3]:

i. Identification

The initial database search yielded 4,163 records.

ii. Screening

After removing duplicates, 1,888 articles remained. Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance, resulting in the exclusion of studies that did not align with the research objective.

iii. Eligibility

A total of 194 full-text articles were assessed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

iv. Inclusion

Finally, 52 studies were deemed suitable and included in the systematic review.

This multi-stage screening process ensured that only highly relevant and methodologically sound studies were included in the final analysis [18].

E. Data Extraction

A structured data extraction form was developed to systematically capture relevant information from each selected study [19]. The extracted data included:

- Author(s) and year of publication
- Country/context of study
- Sample characteristics
- Research design and methodology
- Key variables and determinants examined
- Findings and conclusions

This standardized approach ensured consistency and comparability across studies.

F. Quality Assessment

To ensure the reliability and validity of the included studies, a quality appraisal was conducted using established critical evaluation criteria [20]. Each study was assessed based on:

- Research design appropriateness
- Sample adequacy
- Measurement validity
- Analytical rigor
- Risk of bias

Only studies meeting acceptable quality thresholds were retained, thereby strengthening the robustness of the review findings.

G. Data Analysis Technique

The selected studies were analyzed using thematic analysis, which facilitated the identification of recurring patterns and relationships among determinants of retirement planning behaviour [21].

The determinants were systematically categorized into five major domains:

- Socio-demographic factors
- Psychological factors
- Economic factors
- Institutional and policy factors
- Cultural and social factors

This classification enabled a structured synthesis and helped in developing an integrated understanding of the multidimensional nature of retirement planning behaviour [22].

IV. Results

A. Overview of Included Studies

The systematic review included 52 empirical studies, reflecting diverse geographical contexts and methodological approaches [15]. The majority of studies employed quantitative cross-sectional designs, with limited adoption of longitudinal and mixed-method approaches [23].

Table I: Summary of Included Studies

Criteria	Description
Total studies included	52
Time period	2010–2024
Dominant methodology	Quantitative (cross-sectional)
Less used methods	Longitudinal, mixed-method
Geographic focus	Predominantly developed economies
Key limitation	Lack of causal inference

B. Classification of Determinants

The analysis identified five major categories of determinants influencing retirement planning behaviour [15].

Table II: Classification of Determinants

Category	Key Variables
Socio-demographic	Age, gender, education, income
Psychological	Financial literacy, self-efficacy, risk tolerance, future time perspective
Economic	Income level, employment status, financial resources
Institutional	Policies, pension systems, financial access
Cultural/Social	Family influence, social norms, peer effects

C. Socio-Demographic Determinants

Socio-demographic variables consistently influence retirement planning behavior, particularly through their impact on financial capacity and awareness [15].

Table III: Socio-Demographic Determinants and Effects

Variable	Observed Effect	Interpretation
Age	Positive	Planning increases with lifecycle stage
Gender	Mixed (male > female)	Gender gap in financial engagement
Education	Strong positive	Improves financial literacy
Income	Positive (conditional)	Depends on financial awareness

D. Psychological Determinants

Psychological factors emerged as core drivers, influencing both intention and behaviour [15].

Table IV: Psychological Determinants

Variable	Role	Key Insight
Financial Literacy	Direct + Indirect	Strongest predictor
Self-efficacy	Mediator	Converts knowledge into action
Risk Tolerance	Behavioral driver	Influences investment decisions
Future Time Perspective	Motivational	Encourages long-term planning
Goal Clarity	Reinforcing factor	Strengthens commitment

E. Economic Determinants

Economic factors determine the capacity to act, but are not sufficient alone [24].

Table V: Economic Determinants

Variable	Effect	Limitation
Income	Positive	Needs literacy support
Employment	Positive	Stability matters
Financial Access	Positive	Enables diversification

F. Institutional and Policy Determinants

Institutional structures act as external enablers or constraints [25].

Table VI: Institutional Determinants

Factor	Role	Impact
Pension Schemes	Enabler	Increases participation
Tax Incentives	Motivator	Encourages saving
Financial Advisory	Support	Improves decision quality

G. Cultural and Social Determinants

Social context plays a significant role, especially in collectivist environments [26].

Table VII: Cultural and Social Determinants

Factor	Influence	Explanation
Family	Strong	Shapes saving habits
Social Norms	Moderate	Defines expectations
Peer Influence	Behavioral	Affects financial decisions

H. Interaction Among Determinants

A critical insight from the review is the presence of interaction effects [27].

Table VIII: Interaction Effects

Interaction	Outcome
Financial Literacy × Self-efficacy	Stronger planning behavior
Income × Financial Access	Enhanced investment decisions
Institutional Support × Literacy	Increased effectiveness

I. Methodological Insights

The review also revealed several methodological patterns and limitations [28].

Table IX: Methodological Gaps

Issue	Implication
Cross-sectional dominance	Limits causality
Lack of longitudinal studies	No behavioral evolution insight
Limited qualitative research	Missing depth
Developed-country bias	Low generalizability

V. Discussion

The present systematic review synthesizes evidence on the determinants of retirement planning behavior and reveals that such behavior is shaped by a multidimensional and interdependent set of factors, rather than isolated variables. The findings extend existing literature by emphasizing the interaction between cognitive, economic, and institutional dimensions, thereby offering a more integrated understanding of retirement planning.

A. Integration of Key Findings

A central insight emerging from this review is that financial literacy, although widely recognized as a primary determinant, does not independently guarantee effective retirement planning. Instead, its influence is significantly enhanced when combined with psychological factors such as self-efficacy and future time perspective.

This finding challenges traditional economic assumptions that individuals act as fully rational agents. While classical theories such as the Life Cycle Hypothesis assume optimal decision-making, the reviewed evidence indicates that behavioral constraints and cognitive biases often limit individuals' ability to translate knowledge into action.

Furthermore, socio-economic factors, particularly income and education, were found to exert both direct and indirect effects. Higher income increases the capacity to save; however, without adequate financial knowledge and institutional access, this capacity may not translate into actual planning behavior.

B. Role of Psychological Mechanisms

The results highlight the critical mediating role of psychological constructs, which act as a bridge between knowledge and behavior.

- Financial self-efficacy determines whether individuals feel capable of managing financial decisions.
- Future time perspective influences long-term orientation.
- Retirement goal clarity strengthens commitment to saving behaviour.

These findings align with behavioral finance literature, suggesting that retirement planning is as much a psychological process as it is a financial one.

Importantly, individuals with high financial literacy but low psychological readiness often fail to engage in retirement planning, indicating that knowledge alone is insufficient without motivation and confidence.

C. Influence of Institutional and Environmental Factors

Institutional factors emerged as significant moderators in the relationship between individual characteristics and retirement planning behavior.

The availability of:

- Employer-sponsored pension schemes
- Government incentives
- Financial advisory services

enhances individuals' ability to engage in structured financial planning.

However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms depends on individuals' awareness and understanding, reinforcing the importance of financial literacy as a foundational element.

This interaction suggests that policy interventions must be complemented by behavioral and educational strategies to achieve meaningful outcomes.

D. Cultural and Social Context

The findings also underscore the role of social and cultural influences, particularly in shaping attitudes toward retirement planning.

In collectivist contexts:

- Family expectations
- Intergenerational financial support
- Social norms
- Significantly influence saving behavior.

These factors may either encourage or discourage retirement planning, depending on prevailing societal expectations. This highlight that retirement planning behavior cannot be fully understood without considering its socio-cultural embeddedness.

E. Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to theory by demonstrating the limitations of single-theory explanations of retirement planning behavior.

- The Life Cycle Hypothesis explains financial capacity but ignores behavioral constraints. Behavioral finance theory explains psychological biases but underemphasizes structural factors.
- The Hershey model provides integration but lacks empirical generalization across contexts

Accordingly, this review proposes a multi-theoretical integration, where retirement planning behavior is understood as a function of:

Cognitive capability + Psychological readiness + Economic capacity + Institutional support

This integrated perspective offers a more comprehensive explanation of observed behavioral patterns.

F. Practical Implications

The findings provide important implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and employers.

For Policymakers:

- Develop targeted financial literacy programs.
- Introduce behaviorally informed policies (nudges, default savings schemes).

For Financial Institutions:

- Simplify financial products.
- Provide accessible advisory services.

For Employers:

- Promote workplace-based retirement education.
- Encourage participation in pension schemes.

A key implication is that interventions should be multidimensional, addressing not only financial knowledge but also psychological and structural barriers.

G. Methodological Implications

The review also highlights several methodological limitations in existing studies:

- Over-reliance on cross-sectional designs, limiting causal inference.
- Lack of longitudinal studies to capture behavioral evolution.
- Limited use of mixed-method approaches.
- Underrepresentation of emerging economy contexts.

These gaps suggest the need for more robust and context-specific research designs in future studies.

H. Future Research Directions

Based on the identified gaps, future research should:

- Employ longitudinal designs to examine behavioral changes over time.
- Integrate psychological and economic variables in unified models.
- Focus on sector-specific populations (e.g., IT employees).
- Explore emerging economy contexts, where financial behavior differs significantly.
- Utilize advanced analytical techniques such as SEM to test interaction effects.

VI. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive synthesis of the determinants influencing retirement planning behavior through a systematic review of empirical literature. The findings demonstrate that retirement planning is a multifaceted and dynamic process, shaped by the interaction of financial literacy, psychological attributes, socio-economic conditions, and institutional frameworks.

A key contribution of this review is the identification of financial literacy as a foundational but insufficient determinant. While it enhances individuals' ability to make informed financial decisions, its effectiveness is contingent upon psychological readiness—particularly self-efficacy, future time perspective, and goal clarity—as well as enabling socio-economic and institutional conditions. This highlights that retirement planning behavior cannot be adequately explained through purely economic or cognitive models, but requires an integrated, multidimensional perspective.

The study further contributes by proposing a comprehensive conceptual framework that captures the interrelationships among these determinants. By integrating insights from behavioral finance, life-cycle theory, and institutional perspectives, the framework offers a robust foundation for understanding and empirically examining retirement planning behavior. This integrative approach addresses the fragmentation observed in prior literature and advances theoretical development in the field.

From a practical standpoint, the findings underscore the need for holistic policy and intervention strategies. Efforts to enhance retirement preparedness should move beyond financial education alone and incorporate behavioral interventions, institutional support mechanisms, and context-specific strategies tailored to diverse population groups.

Despite its contributions, this review is subject to certain limitations. The reliance on published studies may introduce publication bias, and the heterogeneity of methodologies across studies limits direct comparability. Additionally, the dominance of cross-sectional research restricts insights into the dynamic evolution of retirement planning behavior over time.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed framework, particularly in underexplored contexts such as emerging economies and sector-specific populations. The application of advanced analytical techniques, including structural equation modeling, can further enhance understanding of the causal relationships and interaction effects among determinants.

In conclusion, this study reinforces that effective retirement planning is not merely a function of financial knowledge, but a result of the complex interplay between individual capabilities, psychological dispositions, and structural conditions, thereby necessitating an integrated and interdisciplinary approach to both research and policy development.

References

[1] K. K. Ingale, and R. A. Paluri, "Retirement planning – A systematic review of literature and future research directions," *Management Review Quarterly* , vol. 75, no. 1, p. 1, Oct. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00377-x.

[2] J. Guo, M. Nazri, and K. Fernandez, "Identifying the conceptualization, measurement of retirement planning: A systematic review," *International Journal of Religion*, vol. 5, no. 12, p. 1198, Nov. 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.61707/z3fw7r28.

[3] A. S. Ghadwan, W. M. W. Ahmad, and M. H. Hanifa, "Financial planning for retirement models: An integrative systematic review," *Pertanika Journal of Social Science & Humanities*, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 879, Jun. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.47836/pjssh.30.2.24.

[4] A. F. M. J. Ahamed, and Y. B. Limbu, "Retirement planning: A moderated mediation model of cognitive beliefs, retirement planning attitude, and money availability," *Financial Services Review*, vol. 32, no. 2, p. 77, Jun. 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.61190/fsr.v32i2.3555.

[5] K. H. K. Yeo, W. M. Lim, and K. Yii, "Financial planning behaviour: A systematic literature review and new theory development," *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, Oct. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1057/s41264-023-00249-1.

[6] E. Suh, "Can't save or won't save: Financial resilience and discretionary retirement saving among British adults in their thirties and forties," *Ageing and Society,* vol. 42, no. 12, p. 2940, Apr. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/s0144686x21000337.

[7] A. K. Ganguly, and P. A. Prakash, "Investment and retirement planning – A conceptual analysis," *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, vol. 8, no. 8, Aug. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i8.3645.

[8] M. J. Kerry, "Psychological antecedents of retirement planning: A systematic review," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 9, Oct. 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01870.

[9] H. A. Rahim, and S. Ali, "Financial literacy and retirement preparedness: A critical review of determinants and policy using Scopus AI analytics," *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, p. 9706, Sep. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2025.909000799.

[10] P. C. Sinha, and L. R. Irala, "Prepared for retirement? Think again: A systematic review and future research agenda," *Management Review Quarterly*, vol. 75, no. 1, p. 1, May 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00437-w.

[11] A. Gulati, S. Singh, S. Dhiman, and K. Kishor, "A systematic review of financial self-efficacy: What we have learned and where we can go from here?," *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, vol. 31, no. 1, Mar. 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1057/s41264-026-00353-y.

[12] A. Imtiaz, L. Khan, and N. Irshad, "Does time matter?: Impact of financial decision-making abilities on financial choices mediated by time perspective," *Serbian Journal of Management*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 117, Jan. 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.5937/sjm19-44038.

[13] U. Suranagi, S. Manocha, R. Chandane, R. P. Kadali, and R. K. Sah, "Assessment of factors influencing vaccine hesitancy for coronavirus disease-19 vaccines among the population of National Capital Region, India," *National Journal of Physiology Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 1, Sep. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.5455/njppp.2022.12.08307202116092021.

[14] H. Ramirez, and T. S. Lim, "Performance of SMEs: Literature synthesis of contingency models," *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 276, Sep. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.19(3).2021.23.

[15] S. Tomar, H. K. Baker, S. Kumar, and A. O. I. Hoffmann, "Psychological determinants of retirement financial planning behavior," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 133, p. 432, May 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.007.

[16] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D. G. Altman, and P. Group, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement," *BMJ*, vol. 339, Jul. 2009, doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535.

[17] H. A. Mohna, T. Barua, M. Mohiuddin, and M. M. Rahman, "AI-ready data engineering pipelines: A review of medallion architecture and cloud-based integration models," *American Journal of Scholarly Research and Innovation*, vol. 1, no. 1. p. 319, Mar. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.63125/51kxtf08.

[18] B. Raza, R. B. Ahmad, M. H. N. M. Nasir, S. S. M. Fauzi, and M. A. Raza, "Assessing the impact of socio-technical congruence in software development: A systematic literature review," *Kuwait Journal of Science*, vol. 49, no. 1, Dec. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.48129/kjs.v49i1.9240.

[19] J. Rotter, and W. Bailkoski, "AI adoption in NGOs: A systematic literature review," *arXiv (Cornell University)*, Oct. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2510.15509.

[20] S. Das *et al.*, "Regenerating rural soil and ecosystems: A 15-year systematic review of emerging methods and technologies," *The Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 990, p. 179926, Jun. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.179926.

[21] D. A. Dovie, "Exploring the nexus between family size planning and retirement planning in Ghana," *Interações Sociedade e as novas modernidades*, no. 37, p. 183, Dec. 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.31211/interacoes.n37.2019.a8.

[22] D. A. Hershey, K. Henkens, and H. P. van Dalen, "Mapping the minds of retirement planners," *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, vol. 38, no. 3, p. 361, Apr. 2007, doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022107300280.

[23] J. E. Santos-Rico, R. S. B. García, and L. G. Rejas-Borjas, "Leaving no one behind- teacher peer mentoring before and after the pandemics: A mixed-methods systematic review of Spanish and english literature," *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, p. 351, May 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.12973/ijem.10.3.351.

[24] M. F. Díaz, A. Charry, S. Sellitti, M. Ruzzante, K. Enciso, and S. Burkart, "Psychological factors influencing pro-environmental behavior in developing countries: Evidence from Colombian and Nicaraguan students," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 11, Dec. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.580730.

[25] M. M. Biesheuvel *et al.*, "Understanding farmers' behavior and their decision-making process in the context of cattle diseases: A review of theories and approaches," *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, vol. 8, p. 687699, Dec. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.687699.

[26] C. S. de Mattos, G. Pellegrini, G. Hagelaar, and W. Dolfsma, "Systematic literature review on technological transformation in SMEs: A transformation encompassing technology assimilation and business model innovation," *Management Review Quarterly*, vol. 74, no. 2, p. 1057, Feb. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00327-7.

[27] A. F. Bate, "Unearthing the contextual influence of national culture on entrepreneurship: Systematic literature review," *Research Square*, Jan. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2420126/v1.

[28] M. B. Abhari, H. Sabetrohani, S. S. Larijani, R. Ghafori, and A. Nafei, "Social determinants of women's reproductive health: A systematic review," *Health Scope*, vol. 13, no. 1, Jan. 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.5812/healthscope-140449.