

# Mindfulness-Driven Creativity for Sustainable Innovation: A Conceptual Framework for Resilience in VUCA Organizations

**Rashmi Jha**

---

*In the face of growing environmental, social, and economic instability, sustainable innovation has become a strategic imperative for organizations operating in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments. This conceptual paper proposes an integrative framework that positions employee mindfulness as a critical antecedent of creativity, emphasizing its role in fostering sustainable innovation and organizational resilience. Drawing from the Self-determination Theory, Broaden-and-Build Theory, Componential Theory of Creativity, Job Demands-Resources Model, and Social Exchange Theory, the framework identifies two mediating pathways—work meaningfulness (cognitive) and workplace happiness (emotional)—through which mindfulness enhances creative capabilities aligned with sustainability goals. The framework lays a foundation for future empirical research and practical interventions aimed at advancing mindful, sustainable innovation across diverse industries.*

**Rashmi Jha** is an Associate Professor of Practice at International School of Management Excellence, Bangalore. Email: rashmijha@ismc.in

## **Rethinking Creativity for Sustainable Innovation**

In the 21st century, organizational success increasingly depends not only on the financial metrics but also on how to become a cornerstone of sustainable innovation, allowing organizations to align economic, environmental, and social value creation (Popescu, 2022). However, sustaining such innovation in practice is complex, particularly within volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments. These dynamic conditions, intensified by climate crises, pandemics, and digital disruptions, strain organizational capacity and demand new paradigms of resilience and responsibility (Shet, 2024; Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025).

Organizations striving for sustainability must not only

adopt green technologies or circular practices, but also nurture the inner capacities of their workforce to think adaptively and ethically. Employee creativity, when guided by values such as purpose and responsibility, can produce solutions that go beyond profit-centric outcomes and contribute meaningfully to environmental and societal well-being (Naqvi & Naqvi, 2023). Hence, fostering a psychologically empowered workforce becomes essential.

### **Navigating VUCA Through Mindfulness**

The VUCA paradigm—originally coined in military contexts—now characterizes globalized markets marked by rapid environmental change, geopolitical instability, and complex stakeholder demands. In such environments, employees frequently encounter cognitive overload, stress, and burnout, limiting their capacity for innovative, long-term thinking (Khan et al., 2021). Traditional efficiency-focused strategies often fall short, as they neglect the psychological and emotional foundations of creative resilience.

Mindfulness, defined as a receptive awareness of the present moment with non-judgmental attention (Brown & Ryan, 2003), offers a transformative approach to cultivating these foundations. As a personal and collective resource, mindfulness enhances attention regulation, emotional resilience, and self-awareness—key qualities needed to innovate responsibly under pressure (Critchley, 2020). Recent scholarship has also linked mindfulness with prosocial behavior, ethical decision-mak-

ing, and environmental concern, positioning it as an enabler of sustainability-oriented creativity (Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025; Levey & Levey, 2019).

### **Toward a Mindfulness-Based Framework**

Despite the recognized benefits of mindfulness, the mechanisms through which it contributes to sustainable innovation remain underexplored. This study seeks to fill that gap by developing a dual-pathway conceptual framework linking employee mindfulness to creativity through two mediators: work meaningfulness and workplace happiness. Work meaningfulness, as a cognitive pathway, fosters intrinsic motivation and goal alignment; workplace happiness, as an emotional pathway, amplifies optimism and resilience—both of which are essential for ideating sustainable solutions in uncertain environments (Fredrickson et al., 2008; Garland et al., 2015).

Moreover, this framework situates mindfulness not merely as a stress-reduction technique, but as a strategic resource that empowers employees to generate value-aligned, adaptive, and contextually responsive innovations. By bridging positive psychology, sustainability theory, and organizational behavior, this paper offers novel insights into how organizations can cultivate inner and outer resilience for long-term sustainability in a VUCA world.

### **Theoretical & Integrative Framework**

Organizations striving for sustainability in VUCA (Volatile, Uncer-

tain, Complex, Ambiguous) environments must look beyond operational processes and cultivate employees' internal psychological resources. This framework proposes that employee mindfulness—a non-judgmental awareness of the present moment—acts as a core enabler of sustainability-oriented creativity through two mediating pathways: work meaningfulness and workplace happiness. Five well-established theories guide the conceptual foundation.

*Self-Determination Theory (SDT):* SDT posits that when basic psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—are met, individuals experience intrinsic motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Mindfulness enhances self-awareness and autonomy, encouraging employees to connect deeply with values such as ecological responsibility and social impact (Michaelson et al., 2014). This connection fosters work meaningfulness and creative engagement in sustainability goals.

*Broaden-and-Build Theory:* Fredrickson's (2004) theory asserts that positive emotions broaden cognition and build psychological resources. Mindfulness promotes emotional balance and optimism (Garland et al., 2015), which enhance workplace happiness. Happy employees are more resilient and open to generating innovative, sustainability-oriented ideas.

*Componential Theory of Creativity:* Amabile (1996) highlights that creativity arises from domain knowledge, creative thinking skills, and intrinsic motivation. Mindfulness supports creative

thinking by reducing cognitive rigidity and improving insight (Henriksen et al., 2020), while work meaningfulness enhances motivation to pursue socially and environmentally responsible innovation.

*Job Demands–Resources (JDR) Model:* The JDR model states that personal and job resources buffer against burnout and enhance performance (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Mindfulness acts as a personal resource that helps manage VUCA-related stress, while meaningfulness and happiness serve as motivational forces sustaining creativity (Khan et al., 2021).

*Social Exchange Theory:* This theory suggests that trust and reciprocity drive positive workplace behaviors (Blau, 1964). Mindfulness fosters empathy and emotional intelligence (Glomb et al., 2011), promoting social cohesion and collaborative creativity—essential in sustainability-focused innovation efforts.

### Integrated Framework Summary

- Mindfulness '!' Work Meaningfulness (cognitive path)  
Supports intrinsic motivation for sustainability-related creativity.
- Mindfulness '!' Workplace Happiness (emotional path)  
Enhances positive affect and openness, key to creative problem-solving.
- Meaningfulness & Happiness '!' Creativity  
Together, they support value-driven, emotionally resilient innovation.

- Creativity ' Sustainability Innovation & Organizational Resilience  
This leads to adaptive capacity, ethical impact, and long-term success.



### Literature Review & Hypothesis Formulation

We critically review the extant research linking mindfulness, work meaningfulness, workplace happiness, and creativity within the context of sustainability and organizational resilience in VUCA environments. Drawing on multiple theoretical perspectives and empirical studies, we establish the basis for the hypothesized relationships in the proposed conceptual model.

**Mindfulness enables employees to manage uncertainty, reduce stress reactivity, and engage in ethically aligned decision-making.**

*Mindfulness and Sustainable Innovation:* Mindfulness, defined as present-centered awareness with an attitude of openness and acceptance (Brown & Ryan, 2003), has been increasingly recognized as a facilitator of

sustainability-oriented behaviors and innovative thinking. In VUCA environments, mindfulness enables employees to manage uncertainty, reduce stress reactivity, and engage in ethically aligned decision-making (Khan et al., 2021; Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025). Moreover, it enhances attention regulation and adaptive functioning—cognitive traits essential for creativity and sustainable problem-solving (Critchley, 2020).

H1: Employee mindfulness is positively associated with sustainability-oriented creativity.

*Mindfulness and Work Meaningfulness:* Work meaningfulness refers to the extent to which employees perceive their work as significant, purposeful, and aligned with personal values (Rosso et al., 2010). Mindfulness fosters introspective clarity and value alignment, leading individuals to reframe work tasks as more significant and socially impactful (Michaelson et al., 2014). Empirical findings suggest that mindful employees are more likely to experience their roles as meaningful due to heightened self-awareness and purpose orientation (Popescu, 2022; Paterson et al., 2021).

H2: Employee mindfulness is positively associated with work meaningfulness.

*Work Meaningfulness and Creativity:* Employees who find their work meaningful are intrinsically motivated, which is a core driver of creativity (Amabile & Pratt, 2016). Work meaningfulness enhances goal commitment and cognitive engagement, encouraging

individuals to propose novel ideas that serve both organizational and societal good (Chaudhary & Panda, 2018). Particularly in sustainability-driven contexts, meaningful work fosters moral imagination and long-term thinking essential for innovative environmental and social solutions.

H3: Work meaningfulness is positively associated with sustainability-oriented creativity.

H4: Work meaningfulness mediates the relationship between mindfulness and sustainability-oriented creativity.

*Mindfulness and Workplace Happiness*; Workplace happiness includes positive affective states such as joy, engagement, and satisfaction derived from work experiences (Fisher, 2010). Mindfulness has been linked with increased emotional regulation, reduced workplace stress, and enhanced well-being (Garland et al., 2015; Critchley, 2020). Mindful individuals are more likely to interpret challenges constructively, experience gratitude, and maintain positive interpersonal

relations, contributing to higher levels of happiness at work (Kabat-Zinn, 2005; Henriksen et al., 2020).

H5: Employee mindfulness is positively associated with workplace happiness.

*Workplace Happiness and Creativity*: Positive emotions expand cognitive flexibility and openness to experience, which are foundational for creative thinking (Fredrickson, 2004). Happy employees are more curious, socially connected, and resilient—traits that contribute to generating innovative ideas (Garland et al., 2015). Within sustainability-oriented innovation, happiness enhances collective morale and supports experimental approaches to long-term solutions (Naqvi & Naqvi, 2023).

H6: Workplace happiness is positively associated with sustainability-oriented creativity.

H7: Workplace happiness mediates the relationship between mindfulness and sustainability-oriented creativity.

**Fig. 1 Hypothesized Model**



*Integrated Framework and Dual Pathway Model:* Integrating these findings, the model proposes a dual pathway through which mindfulness affects creativity: a cognitive pathway via work meaningfulness and an emotional pathway via workplace happiness. Both mediators contribute uniquely to sustainable innovation by enabling employees to engage fully, adapt flexibly, and pursue value-aligned creative outcomes in complex organizational settings.

### **Theoretical Advancement**

This study advances the theoretical understanding by integrating mindfulness into the discourse on sustainable innovation, creativity, and resilience within VUCA organizations. Specifically, it offers five key contributions:

#### *1. Bridging Psychological and Sustainability Theories:*

By combining *Self-Determination Theory* (Ryan & Deci, 2000), *Broaden-and-Build Theory* (Fredrickson, 2004), and *Componential Theory of Creativity* (Amabile & Pratt, 2016), this model provides a nuanced explanation of how mindfulness nurtures sustainable creativity through internal motivational and emotional mechanisms. This responds to calls for incorporating psychological resources into models of sustainability (Popescu, 2022).

#### *2. Introducing a Dual Pathway Framework:*

Existing research tends to examine either the cognitive (e.g., work meaningfulness) or affective (e.g., happiness)

effects of mindfulness. This study integrates both into a dual mediation model, demonstrating that mindfulness influences creativity via parallel cognitive and emotional channels (Michaelson et al., 2014; Garland et al., 2015). This duality adds explanatory depth to understanding how innovation emerges in complex environments.

#### *3. Redefining Creativity as Sustainability-Oriented:*

By emphasizing sustainability-oriented creativity, the model shifts focus from purely commercial or aesthetic outputs to purpose-driven innovation. This concept supports recent research arguing that sustainable innovation requires an expanded ethical and long-term view of creativity (Chaudhary & Panda, 2018; Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025).

#### *4. Contextualizing Within VUCA Conditions:*

The framework positions mindfulness as a personal resilience resource particularly valuable in VUCA environments, where ambiguity and overload undermine creative cognition (Khan et al., 2021). It also reinforces the applicability of the *Job Demands–Resources Model* by illustrating how inner resources buffer against the adverse effects of such contexts (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007).

#### *5. Extending Social Exchange Theory:*

Through emotional self-regulation and empathetic awareness, mindfulness enhances relational trust and social ex-

change quality—factors previously underexplored in linking mindfulness to sustainability-driven collaboration (Glomb et al., 2011; Blau, 1964).

### Practical Implications

This dual conceptual model also offers actionable insights for managers, organizational leaders, HR practitioners, and sustainability strategists:

#### 1. *Embedding Mindfulness in Organizational Culture:*

Mindfulness should be promoted not only through individual-level practices (e.g., meditation sessions, breathing workshops), but also by integrating mindfulness into leadership styles, decision-making frameworks, and team norms (Levey & Levey, 2019). Doing so cultivates an organizational climate conducive to thoughtful innovation and ecological awareness.

#### 2. *Designing Meaning-Centered Work Environments:*

HR professionals can enhance work meaningfulness by aligning roles with employees' values, offering autonomy, and clarifying how tasks contribute to broader societal and environmental goals (Rosso et al., 2010). Purposeful job design increases motivation for sustainability-linked innovation.

#### 3. *Fostering Workplace Happiness for Resilient Innovation:*

Organizations can support happiness through employee recognition, support-

ive feedback, and psychological safety, all of which are linked to proactive and collaborative creativity (Fisher, 2010). These practices also help retain talent in demanding, dynamic environments.

#### 4. *Developing Sustainability-Responsive Leadership:*

Leaders trained in mindful and ethical leadership can serve as role models, balancing innovation with environmental and social stewardship. Such leadership can promote trust, collaboration, and alignment with long-term sustainable development goals (Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025).

#### 5. *Building VUCA-Ready Workforce Capacities:*

Finally, organizations can integrate mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) into their resilience training programs to equip employees with tools to manage ambiguity and act creatively under pressure (Khan et al., 2021). This supports sustainable adaptability and organizational transformation.

### Policy Implications

The model also carry significant implications for policymakers, especially those focused on sustainable development goals (SDGs), future-of-work strategies, and organizational well-being frameworks:

#### 1. Recognize Mindfulness as an SDG-Enabling Competency

Policymakers and regulators should recognize mindfulness as a key soft skill

that enhances employee engagement with SDG-aligned behaviors—such as energy efficiency, waste reduction, and social inclusion (Popescu, 2022; Subrahmanyam & Sarkis, 2025).

2. Embed Mindfulness into ESG Guidelines and Workplace Health Policies

Labor and environmental ministries can integrate mindfulness programs into national Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) and well-being policies. Doing so aligns mental well-being with productivity, innovation, and sustainable development (Kabat-Zinn, 2005; Levey & Levey, 2019).

3. Support Organizational Transformation Through IDG-SDG Linkages

National innovation and sustainability bodies should promote frameworks that link Inner Development Goals (IDGs)—such as presence, empathy, and resilience—to outer SDG indicators, especially under Goal 8 (Decent Work), Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation), and Goal 12 (Responsible Consumption).

4. Provide Funding and Incentives for Mindfulness-Based Innovation Practices

Governments and development agencies can provide grants or tax incentives to organizations that adopt evidence-based mindfulness interventions tied to sustainability metrics—supporting not just efficiency, but ethical and ecological innovation (Chaudhary & Panda, 2018).

5. Encourage Public–Private Collaboration on Mindfulness Research

Cross-sector partnerships can be fostered to pilot large-scale studies on mindfulness and sustainable innovation—creating open-access toolkits and public data repositories to drive further adoption and equity in psychological sustainability practices.

Finally, the model encourages companies to connect inner development goals (IDGs) like mindfulness, compassion, and self-awareness to external ESG commitments. This bridges the personal and institutional dimensions of sustainability and provides measurable benefits for innovation, talent retention, and stakeholder trust.

*Action Point:* Integrate inner development targets into ESG strategy dashboards, tying psychological metrics to corporate social performance.

In summary, the model equips organizations with a dual-lens view: cultivating mindfulness as a personal competency and designing meaningful, emotionally enriching work environments. These efforts directly enhance the capacity to generate sustainability-aligned innovation, reinforcing both individual well-being and institutional resilience in the face of complex challenges.

## **Conclusion**

This study positions employee mindfulness as a critical psychological resource that catalyzes sustainability-oriented creativity and innovation within contemporary organizational settings. It introduces a theoretically grounded, in-

terconnected framework wherein mindfulness enhances both work meaningfulness and workplace happiness, which in turn promote creative engagement with sustainability goals. This dual-pathway model not only supports individual well-being but also contributes to organizational resilience and long-term strategic success, particularly in VUCA environments. The paper advocates for practical strategies to cultivate mindfulness at work, design meaningful roles, and foster positive affective climates. Finally, it calls for empirical validation across industries and cultural contexts to establish the framework's generalizability and strategic relevance in advancing sustainable development.

## References

- Amabile, T. M. (1996), *Creativity in context*, Westview Press.
- Amabile, T. M., & Pratt, M. G. (2016), "The dynamic componential model of creativity and innovation in organizations", *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 36: 157–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2016.10.001>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007), "The Job Demands–Resources Model", *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3): 309–28. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
- Blau, P. M. (1964), *Exchange and power in social life*, Wiley.
- Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2003), "The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(4): 822–48. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822>
- Chaudhary, R., & Panda, S. (2018), "Authentic leadership and creativity", *Personnel Review*, 47(2): 372–94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-05-2016-0111>
- Critchley, L. M. (2020), *The leader's mind matters: The effect of mindful leadership development programs*. Fisher Digital Publications. [https://fisherpub.sjf.edu/education\\_etd/473](https://fisherpub.sjf.edu/education_etd/473)
- Fisher, C. D. (2010), "Happiness at work", *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(4): 384–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00270.x>
- Fredrickson, B.L. (2004), "The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 359(1449):1367–77. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1512>
- Fredrickson, B. L., Cohn, M. A., Coffey, K. A., Pek, J., & Finkel, S. M. (2008), "Open hearts build lives", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(5): 1045–62. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013262>
- Garland, E. L., Farb, N. A., Rashedi, R. N., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2015), "The mindfulness-to-meaning theory", *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(4): 377–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2015.1092493>
- Glomb, T. M., et al. (2011), "Mindfulness at work", *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, 30: 115–57.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019), "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM", *European Business Review*, 31(1): 2-24.
- Henriksen, D., Mishra, P., & Mehta, R. (2020), "Creativity and mindfulness.", *TechTrends*, 64: 178–87. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00464-5>
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2005), *Coming to our senses: Healing ourselves and the world through mindfulness*, Hyperion.
- Khan, M. M., Ahmed, S. S., & Khan, E. (2021), "The emerging paradigm of leadership for future: Authentic leadership in VUCA environments", *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12:

759241. <https://doi.org/10.3389/psyg.2021.759241>
- Levey, J., & Levey, M. (2019), "Mindful leadership for personal and organizational resilience", *Clinical Radiology*, 74(9): 702–08. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2019.05.012>
- Michaelson, C., Pratt, M. G., Grant, A. M., & Dunn, C. P. (2014), "Meaningful work", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(3): 308–27.
- Naqvi, F., & Naqvi, A. (2023), "A study of what makes organizations successful in a VUCA world", *Migration Letters*, 20(1): 33–45. <https://www.academia.edu/download/108444386>
- Paterson, T. A., et al. (2021), "Examining the effects of mindfulness", *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36: 89–03,
- Popescu, C.R.G., (2022), "Mindfulness at work: A sound business investment", in: A. Idowu, (ed) *Responsible and Sustainable Business in the 21st Century*. IGI Global, <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7760-8.ch017>.
- Rosso, B. D., Dekas, K. H., & Wrzesniewski, A. (2010), "On the meaning of work. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 30: 91–127.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000), "Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation", *American Psychologist*, 55(1): 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Shet, S. V. (2024), "A VUCA-ready workforce: Exploring employee competencies", *Personnel Review*, 53(2): 300–15. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-10-2023-0873>
- Steger, M. F., Dik, B. J., & Duffy, R. D. (2012), "Measuring meaningful work: The work and meaning inventory (WAMI)", *Journal of Career Assessment*, 20(3): 322-37.
- Subrahmanyam, S. & Sarkis, N., (2025), "Mindfulness and sustainability leadership: Integrating awareness and action for a resilient future", in: R. Marques, (ed.) *Strategic Approaches to Mindful Leadership*. IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/mindfulness-and-sustainability-leadership/369996>.
- Van Katwyk, P. T., Fox, S., Spector, P. E., & Kelloway, E. K. (2000), "Using the Job-Related Affective Well-Being Scale (JAWS) to investigate affective responses to work stressors", *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5(2): 219.
- Zhou, J., & George, J. M. (2001), "When job dissatisfaction leads to creativity: Encouraging the expression of voice", *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(4): 682-96.